

LECTURE 09 | LGC450 | 2 H 00 MIN

SAFE PORTS CLAIMS

Purpose. The majority of contracts of carriage, encompassing charterparties, bills of lading, sea waybills, and similar documents, typically incorporate provisions that delineate the permissible trading areas for vessels

Suggested time plan: Read and annotate 30 min | Research authoritative sources 30 min | Create the required output 48 min | Review and upload 12 min | Total 2 h 00 min

Research questions

1. Which legal, regulatory, contractual or operational principles govern safe ports claims?
2. How do introduction and defining safe port affect responsibility, risk or available remedies?
3. What facts, documents and professional evidence would be required to apply these principles to a realistic case?

Independent learning activity

- Review the lecture and create an issue map covering introduction, defining safe port, safe berths.
- Consult at least two authoritative sources from the recommended reading and record the relevant rule, requirement or professional guidance.
- Apply the lecture principles to a fictional or public scenario concerning safe ports claims. Distinguish known facts, assumptions, legal or commercial issues and evidence still required.
- Submit a 900-1,100 word professional briefing note with a one-page issue or evidence matrix and a 100-word professional reflection.

Required completion evidence

- Final written output and accompanying matrix uploaded to the LMS.
- Complete source list with working links and access dates.
- Completed lecture knowledge check or tutor review.
- Learner declaration of originality and any required AI-use declaration.
- Tutor feedback and corrected submission retained where resubmission is required.

Recommended further reading

- [Marine Insurance Act 1906](#)
- [Merchant Shipping Act 1995](#)
- [IMO list of maritime conventions](#)
- Also use the corresponding London Gateway College lecture material and any tutor-approved primary authority or recognised professional source.

Formative quality criteria

Criterion	Suggested weighting
Accurate identification of governing principles	25%
Application to facts and evidence	30%
Reasoned analysis and professional judgement	25%



Criterion	Suggested weighting
Research, citation and presentation	20%